From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2026 13:57
To: Light Valley Solar
Subject: Fw: RE: LVS Meetings - outstanding questions

> You don't often get email from [redacted]

Thank you, Harrison,

So, it would appear that the Cable Route Corridor will now be up to **50 metres wide** in some places.

That's an increase of 65% on the original published width of **30 metres**.

Bearing in mind that this Cable Route Corridor will stretch approximately **20km** through our countryside, over the A19 & the River Ouse etc. How can this be acceptable?

I believe that this increase has slipped into the 'pot' without proper information being conveyed to all the people who will be affected by this.

This project is truly getting out of hand! Bearing in mind that a standard 3-lane motorway (incl. both sides) is approx. 25 metres – this will be TWICE the width!?

Regards,

Annabel

From: Light Valley Solar <lightvalleysolar@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Sent: 27 October 2026 16:29
To: [redacted] Light Valley Solar <lightvalleysolar@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: LVS Meetings - outstanding questions

Good afternoon,

Thank you for your email.

Your original email was published at Deadline 1 and can be found in the examination library under reference REP1-031.

The Applicant's response to your submission, and those of other interested parties, was published at Deadline 2 under reference REP2-120.

Kind regards,

Harrison

Planning Inspectorate

Harrison Coles

Case Officer

Planning Inspectorate

T [redacted]

@PINSgov Planning Inspectorate planninginspectorate.gov.uk

Ensuring **fairness**, **openness** and **impartiality** across all our services

This communication does not constitute legal advice.

Our Customer Privacy Notice sets out how we handle personal data in accordance with the law.

From: [redacted]
Sent: 27 October 2026 15:32

To: Light Valley Solar <lightvalleysolar@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: LVS Meetings - outstanding questions

You don't often get email from [redacted]

Good afternoon!

I sent the email below in July, and do not appear to have received a reply?

In brief, my questions were:

1. How wide is the proposed 13 mile 'road' required from Escrick to Monk Fryston – it was initially supposed to be 30 meters wide (which I was quite shocked at), but at the meeting, in July, the figure of up to **50 meters** was mentioned? Surely this cannot be right?
2. How much solar energy will be required to pay for the initial outlay of 1 million solar panels and the shipping of these from China to Yorkshire – before we see any benefit (bearing in mind that China often uses **coal power**, how is this environmentally friendly?).

I hope there is soon an end to this ridiculous project and I thrust I will be kept informed before any diggers are put to work!! Clearly nobody involved in the planning or development of this project lives anywhere near Escrick, otherwise they would understand my concerns (of which there are many more than I have listed here!).

Regards,

Annabel Amy

From: [redacted]
Sent: 30 July 2026 10:45
To: 'lightvalleysolar@planninginspectorate.gov.uk' <lightvalleysolar@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: LVS Meetings - outstanding questions

Dear Examining Authority,

I live in Riccall and have had an interest in this project for a while, as I know that there will be considerable disruption to the area where we live if this project goes ahead. I have a large number of concerns, but many were raised at the recent meetings held at the Parsonage Hotel in Escrick. I attended the meetings yesterday (Wednesday 29th July at 9.30am) and on Tuesday afternoon, and have a couple of outstanding queries that I would like to raise:

1. I have for some time been concerned about the 13mile trench that will be necessary for the cabling & pipes from Escrick to Monk Fryston (via Riccall). I have always understood that the trench will be approximately 1.5m wide, but the 'road' necessary to build this trench and provide access for staff, lorries carrying heavy duty pipes & cabling etc will be up to **30m wide**. I must admit this was quite a shock – how many hedges, fields, roads and rivers will need to be crossed in order to allow for this? However, I was even more astonished to hear on Tuesday that this 13mile cabling 'road' will now be up to **50m wide** – please could you clarify – this is surely a mistake?

   This is wider than full width of a 3-lane motorway with hard-shoulder!

2. We have been led to believe that there will be approximately **1 million** solar panels in this project. I assume these will be built in China (probably using coal power!!) and will be shipped all the way to Yorkshire. Please could you clarify how many days/months/years of Yorkshire sunshine will be required to cover the cost of manufacture, shipping and installation before any householders see the benefits of this solar energy?

Answers to the above may be somewhere within the 223 documents that are available to review. One of those documents is 1871 pages long, so forgive me if I haven't easily found the answers I needed.

Regards,

Annabel AMY

Please take a moment to review the **Planning Inspectorate's Privacy Notice** which can be accessed by clicking this link.

Please note that the contents of this email and any attachments are privileged and/or confidential and intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments, you must take no action based upon them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error and then delete this email from your system.

Recipients should note that e-mail traffic on Planning Inspectorate systems is subject to monitoring, recording and auditing to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes. The Planning Inspectorate has taken steps to keep this e-mail and any attachments free from viruses. It accepts no liability for any loss or damage caused as a

result of any virus being passed on. It is the responsibility of the recipient to perform all necessary checks.

The statements expressed in this e-mail are personal and do not necessarily reflect the opinions or policies of the Inspectorate.

DPC:76616c646f72